

References

- Agosta, J., Melda, K., & Ashbaugh, J. (1994, November). *Hawaii at the crossroads: Opportunities to improve developmental disabilities services final report* (pp. 1 – 21). Salem, OR: Human Services Research Institute.
- Batshaw, M. L. (1997). *Children with disabilities* (4th ed.). Baltimore, MD: Brookes.
- Bradley, V. J., Langer, M., & Mulkern, V. (1987). *Review of other state experiences* (Policy Paper # 2). Cambridge, MA: Human Services Research Institute.
- Bradley, V. J., Mulkern, V., & Wells, A. (1987, June). *Current system context* (Policy paper #1). Cambridge, MA: Human Services Research Institute.
- Bradley, V. J., Mulkern, V., Langer, M., & Nurczynski, P. (1988, March). *The feasibility of adopting a functional definition of disabilities: A policy analysis and recommendations* (Executive Summary). Cambridge, MA: Human Services Research Institute.
- Hemp, R. (personal communication, January 10, 2001) *State rankings in mental retardation/developmental disabilities spending in 1998*. Department of Disability and Human Development, University of Illinois at Chicago.
- National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services, the Institute for Community Integration at the University of Minnesota. (2000). *Person-centered supports: They're for everyone*. Alexandria, VA: Author.
- Human Services Research Institute, National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services, the Institute for Community Integration at the University of Minnesota. (2000, November). *American with developmental disabilities quality assurance site visit plan*. Cambridge, MA: Author.

Kasprak, J. (1999, October). *Mental retardation agency structure* (99-R1041). Hartford, CTL
Office of Legislative Research.

Larson, S. A., Lakin, K. C., Anderson, L. , Kwak, N., Lee, J. H., & Anderson, D. (2000).
Prevalence of mental retardation and/or developmental disabilities: Analysis of the
1994/1995 NHIS-D. *MR/DD Data Brief* 1(2).

Lubin, R. Jacobson, J., & Kiely, M. (1982). Projected impact of the functional definition of
developmental disabilities: The categorically disabled population and services eligibility.
American Journal on Mental Deficiency, 87(1), 73-79.

Schalock, R. L. (1997). The concept of quality of life in 21st century disability programmes. In R.
I. Brown (Ed.), *Quality of life for people with disabilities* (2nd ed. pp. 327-340).
Cheltenham, UK: Stanley Thornes.

List of Figures

Figure 1. National perspective map.

Figure 2. A depiction of state agency limitations in regard to providing or funding services and supports to children and youth 3 through 17 years of age with developmental disabilities, who do not have mental retardation, as defined in section 1-1g of the Connecticut general statutes, as amended.

Figure 3. A depiction of state agency limitations in regard to providing or funding services and supports to adults 19 years of age and older with developmental disabilities, who do not have mental retardation, as defined in section 1-1g of the Connecticut general statutes, as amended.

Figure 4. Priority service and support needs for children and families in the survey sample.

Figure 5. Priority service and support needs for adults in the survey sample.

Figure 6. Priority service and support needs for young adults in the survey sample.

Figure 7. Illustration of priority supports by age range for entire survey sample.

Figure 8. Consumer and family recommendations for system change.

Figure 9. Proposed system design.

Figure 10. Conceptualization of levels of support.

Figure 11. A depiction of an interagency service and support matrix for individuals with developmental disabilities and their families based on the development of new services and the coordination and enhancement of existing services.

Figure 12. A depiction of the potential demand rates for select services—children birth - 18 years of age.

Figure 13. A depiction of the potential demand rates for select services—adults 19 years of age and older.

Figure 14. Average annual cost per person for select services for children.

Figure 15. Average annual per person cost for select services for adults.

Figure 16. Estimated state infrastructure costs for developmental disabilities unit, including central office (CO) and regional staff.

Figure 17. A comparison of estimates for annual costs of services for children with developmental disabilities who do not have mental retardation, with projections at 40% and 20% of the estimated population respectively.

Figure 18. A comparison of estimates for annual costs of services for adults with developmental disabilities who do not have mental retardation, with projections at 40 % and 20% of the estimated population respectively.

Figure 19. Total estimated annual cost for serving 40% of projected population of people with developmental disabilities who do not have mental retardation.

Figure 20. Total estimated annual cost for serving 20% of projected population of people with developmental disabilities who do not have mental retardation.

Figure 21. Projected fiscal requirements for serving children and adults with developmental disabilities using a demand rate of 40%.

Figure 22. Projected fiscal requirements for serving children and adults with developmental disabilities using a demand rate of 20%.

List of Tables

Table 1. Estimated Prevalence of Select Conditions Associated with DD

Table 2. Self-reported Diagnoses of Survey Respondents

Table 3. Selected Prevalence Estimates

Table 4. Sample Benchmarks for Estimating Demand Rate

Table 5. Estimates of Demand for Publicly Supported Services